

A public discussion

I posted the [following comment](#) in response to this morning's report on usmnews.net being taken down at *The Chronicle of Higher Education*:

The comment this thread is based on was taken down and the only reason was "This comment was flagged for review." I, and I assume other readers, would benefit from a discussion about why the decision was made.

To begin the discussion, our policy at usmnews.net, the source of my comments, is to provide reliable evidence and sources for our news. Also, a lawyer reviews our reports. As with any reliable source, we correct any errors. If my comment had errors, please advise me. I'll correct them in public and apologize for them. If my comment was reliable, I, and readers, would benefit from a discussion of the process CHE applies to take down comments.

Additionally, I think the discussion would be very interesting--taking down a comment is, after all, censorship, even though CHE owns the site and can make the decision without explaining why. CHE is important. Let's do it in a more public area of the CHE, like the "News" section.

Marc

Chauncey M. DePree, Jr., DBA, Professor, School of Accountancy, College of Business, University of Southern Mississippi. m.depre@usm.edu or marcdepre@gmail.com